## Memorandum

060 65-0408

TO

File

SECRET

DATE: 4 February 1965

FROM

Chief, Alien Affairs Staff

SUBJECT:

CIA Amendments to the Immigration and Nationality Act

1. On 4 February 1965, \_\_\_\_\_\_, Assistant Legislative Counsel, and the undersigned met with James L. Hennessey, Executive Assistant to the Commissioner of Immigration and Naturalization Service to discuss CIA's proposed amendments to the I&N Act.

25X1

- 2. Mr. Hennessey stated that it was his understanding that the Department of Justice and the Department of State had agreed that they would not submit any amendments to the President's Bill to change the I&N Act because they did not wish to prejudice its passage. He stated that both State and Immigration have proposed technical amendments to the Act but they will not do anything about these until after the Administration's National Origins Quota Amendments are completed.
- 3. We went over the proposed CIA amendments with Mr. Hennessey and he stated that he did not feel the Service would have any great objections to any of the proposals, however, he did say it was possible that there might be some slight objection to the inclusion of all aliens employed by the U. S. Government receiving the benefits of Section 216(b). He said that he could see the security need for removing the Agency's name and it might not be as big a problem as he thinks.
- 4. He further stated that he did not believe an amendment to Section 313 of the Act was advisable, especially at this time since the Congress appears to be somewhat jealous of its prerogatives and the prerogatives of the Judiciary and would prohably be opposed to permitting an official or officials of the Legislative Branch to determine that any naturalization is in the interest of the U.S.

SEGRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic downgrading and declaration

Approved For Release 2005/04/21 : CIA-RDP67B00446R000100280024-5

## SECRET

5. He commented on the proposed amendment which would help Radio Free Europe employees become citizens without regard to residence requirements and again stated that he felt that the Congress would not waive such requirements for a class and if the amendment was broadened to include organizations such as eleemosynary or educational institutions, this might give more people such benefits than necessary and the Congress probably would be opposed to granting such benefits on a large scale.	ILLEGIB

	SENDER WILL CI	HECK CLASSIFICATIO	N TOP AND A	PITIOMOIA E	7 7	200040028002
	UNCLASSIFIE	HECK CLASSIFICATION OF THE CONFIDE	NTIAE XX	SECRET	DP67600446F	<b>3000 10028002</b>
	CEN	TRAL INTELLIGENCE	AGENCY		1	
	OFF]	ICIAL ROUTING	G SLIP			
то		ND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS	ļ	
. 1		1		INTITALS	†	
1	<u> </u>				ļ	
2	*				Ī	
9						
3						
4						
5			+			
6						
-						
	ACTION APPROVAL	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE			
	COMMENT	DISPATCH	RECOMME	NDATION		
_	CONCURRENCE	FILE INFORMATION	RETURN SIGNATUR			
		THURSTON				
lem	narks:		Juliani	E		
lem	narks:		STATISTICS			
lem	narks:		STATISTICS	E		
en	narks:		STATISTICS			
en	narks:		STATISTICS	E		
en	narks:		STATION			
len	narks:		STATION			
len	narks:		STATION			
len	narks:	,	STATION			
Ren	narks:		STATION			
Ren	narks:					
Ren	narks:		R			
len	narks:		.R	DATE		
Benz		Approved Toble	.R	DATE FEB 1965		

(40)

\* U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1961 O-587282